

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/687,559	YAMAMOTO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Tim Phan	3729

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert D. Traver.

(2) Carl J. Arbes.

**Status of Application:** Non Final Action

(3) Thiem D. Phan.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 November 2004

**Time:** Morning

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*Claim 14*

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants agree to delete the language "according to claim 1" (Cf. Claim 14, line 2) in order to clarify the claimed invention. Appropriate correction is required.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)